

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/044,629	HARTMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeffrey Chapp.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 June 2006

**Time:** 9am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

0

Prior art documents discussed:

0

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted applicant about the status of the case. Applicant's representative said applicant informed him to allow the case to go abandoned*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 JASON CARDONE  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)